FitchRatings

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms Energa at 'BBB+'; Outlook Stable

Wed 21 Dec, 2022 - 7:06 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Warsaw - 21 Dec 2022: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Energa S.A.'s Long-Term Foreign- and Local-Currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) at 'BBB+'. The Outlook is Stable. The affirmation reflects our unchanged view on the company under our "Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria", under which we equalise Energa's rating with that of Polski Koncern Naftowy ORLEN S.A. (PKN ORLEN). A full list of rating actions is below.

Energa's Standalone Credit Profile (SCP) remains at 'bbb-' and is supported by a solid business profile, with a focus on its predictable regulated electricity distribution business, and strong operational record. We project that a large capex plan will increase Energa's net leverage in 2023-2025, which however should remain solid for the current SCP.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Distribution Supports Credit Profile: The ratings of Energa reflect its focus on regulated distribution activity, its main business segment, which is characterised by lower business risk and greater cash flow predictability than conventional power generation. We expect the share of regulated EBITDA to average 69% in 2022-2026, contributing to cash flow visibility. The regulatory framework for distribution networks in Poland is stable and has further improved with the introduction of a regulatory account from 2021, which allows for the automatic reflection of differences between actual and forecast distribution volumes with a two-year lag.

Price Cap for Generators: We expect the profitability impact on Energa of price cap on generation will partly be mitigated by its substantial share of quasi-regulated income for renewable assets. The Polish government introduced a maximum price for energy generators that will vary by energy source and among individual units, as the formula considers the fuel, CO2 cost, and effectiveness of each plant. If the selling price is above the limit, the generator will pass on the additional revenue to the special fund created to finance capped tariffs for end-customers. The price cap will apply from December 2022 throughout 2023.

Conventional Generation Under Pressure: We expect deterioration in the profitability of coal-fired assets due to an increase in fuel and emission allowance costs and the price cap introduced in 2022. Under Fitch's rating case, we assume revenues from the capacity market to partly compensate worsened margins on electricity sales. We view conventional assets as a burden on Energa's generation profitability until its two new combined heat and power units (CCGT) units are commissioned in 2026.

New Generation Units: Our rating case forecasts Energa's capex to average PLN3.4 billion annually in 2022-2026, broadly equally split between distribution and strategic projects, including new CCGTs in Ostroleka and Grudziadz. We expect the new CCGT units to increase Energa's capacity by nearly 1.3 GWe in 2026. Both projects have signed 17-year capacity payments agreements, which will significantly improve the segment's result from

2026 and mitigate the plants' cash flow exposure to gas and CO2 prices. In the event of failure to meet the capacity obligation, a penalty of 5% of the annual capacity market revenue applies. Our rating case does not assume any delays to the projects' commissioning dates.

Introduction of Supply Cap: The government introduced a cap on supply prices at 2022 levels for households with annual consumption of up to 2MWh during 2023, and at PLN693/MWh above this limit, and at PLN785/MWh for SMEs, public utility entities, and farmers. We expect the total negative impact throughout the cap period of PLN930 million, as preliminarily estimated by the company, to reduce EBITDA in the supply segment. We assume no delays in payments of granted compensation due to a monthly settlement mechanism.

Spin-Off to Benefit: Fitch has not included the planned divestment of Energa's coal-fired power plant to a state-controlled National Agency for Energy Security (NABE) in its rating case as the key transaction terms, including the price and payment terms, have not yet been agreed on. Fitch believes the divestment will have a positive impact on Energa's credit profile, allowing the company to focus on electricity distribution and renewable generation, and improve its debt capacity.

Equalisation Rating Approach: We view the parent PKN ORLEN's SCP as stronger than Energa's due to its larger-scale operations, stronger business diversification and lower leverage. Applying the stronger parent/weaker subsidiary approach under our PSL Criteria, we equalise the rating of Energa with that of PKN ORLEN. This is based on our reassessment of 'Medium' legal incentives, combined with 'High' strategic and operating incentives.

DERIVATION SUMMARY

Energa's close peer group comprises three other electricity-focused integrated utilities in Poland, which are PGE Polska Grupa Energetyczna S.A. (PGE; BBB+/Stable), ENEA S.A. (BBB/Negative) and TAURON Polska Energia S.A. (Tauron; BBB-/Stable).

All peers are rated on a standalone basis. Energa's and Tauron's business profiles benefit from a large share of regulated distribution in EBITDA, which provides good cash flow visibility when conventional generation and mining are under pressure. Both companies' credit profiles are also supported by inflows from capacity payments that improve revenue visibility, although Tauron benefits from more significant amounts due to its larger coal-fired fleet. Nevertheless, Tauron has a greater share of hard-coal fired generation in its business profile, which is currently under pressure.

We believe that Energa has a more sustainable business profile, which is reflected in its higher debt capacity, with a negative rating sensitivity of 5.0x compared with 4.5x for Tauron.

PGE is the largest energy company in Poland and has the lowest leverage among the peer group. It derives most of its EBITDA from electricity generation and has a high share of lignite in the generation fuel mix, which provides the company with cost advantage over hard coal-fired peers such as ENEA and Tauron. Rising CO2 prices could diminish this cost advantage if not accompanied by high hard coal prices, given the higher carbon footprint of lignite than hard coal.

ENEA has a lower share of regulated distribution than Energa and Tauron and at the same time higher exposure to hard coal-fired generation, but controls a low-cost mining business.

KEY ASSUMPTIONS

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer:

- Weighted-average cost of capital in the distribution segment at 5.8% in 2022 and on average 8% in 2023-2026
- Electricity prices capped at average PLN573/MWh in 2023-2026

- Ostroleka C to switch to gas-fired from 2026, with 50% plus one share ownership by Energa
- Capex and acquisitions at PLN17 billion in 2022-2026
- Continuation of a no-dividend policy

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- Positive rating action on PKN ORLEN
- Funds from operations (FFO) net leverage below 4x on a sustained basis would be positive for the SCP

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- Negative rating action on PKN ORLEN
- FFO net leverage above 5x on a sustained basis, for example, due to a protracted economic downturn, higher-than-planned capex or reinstatement of dividends would be negative for the SCP

PKN ORLEN's rating sensitivities from the most recent Rating Action Commentary on 9 November 2022 are:

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

- Rating upside is currently limited unless the business profile strengthens significantly with larger scale of operations and geographical diversification combined with lower execution risk in shifting the business towards lower-emission technologies
- Net debt/EBITDA below 1.5x or FFO net leverage below 1.7x on a sustained basis

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- Net debt/EBITDA above 2.5x or FFO net leverage above 2.7x on a sustained basis
- Higher-than-currently expected variability of cash flows
- Aggressive financial policy with higher-than-expected dividend payments or debt-funded acquisitions

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Non-Financial Corporate issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Manageable Liquidity: At end-September 2022, Energa had PLN314 million of unrestricted cash and equivalents and PLN2.5 billion of committed unused credit lines against short-term maturities of PLN2.7 billion, and PLN2

billion Fitch-calculated negative free cash flow after acquisitions in the next 12 months from October 2022.

We expect that PKN ORLEN will address Energa's short-term financing needs through intra-group loans, cash pooling, and revolving credit lines, providing funding based on current demand.

ISSUER PROFILE

Energa is an integrated utility operating in Poland. The main areas of operations are electricity distribution, generation and supply.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

PUBLIC RATINGS WITH CREDIT LINKAGE TO OTHER RATINGS

Energa is credit-linked to PKN ORLEN.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT \$	RATING ♦	PRIOR \$
Energa S.A.	LT IDR BBB+ Rating Outlook Stable Affirmed	BBB+ Rating Outlook Stable
	LC LT IDR BBB+ Rating Outlook Stable Affirmed	BBB+ Rating Outlook Stable
	Natl LT AA+(pol) Rating Outlook Stable Affirmed	AA+(pol) Rating Outlook Stable
senior unsecured	LT BBB+ Affirmed	BBB+
subordinated	LT BBB- Affirmed	BBB-
senior unsecured	Natl LT AA+(pol) Affirmed	AA+(pol)
Energa Finance AB (publ)		
senior unsecured	LT BBB+ Affirmed	BBB+

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Renata Dobrzynska, PhD

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+48 22 103 3035

renata.dobrzynska@fitchratings.com

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited spolka z ograniczona odpowiedzialnoscia oddzial w Polsce

Krolewska 16, 00-103 Warsaw

Katarzyna Sulek

Senior Analyst
Secondary Rating Analyst
+48 22 103 3032
katarzyna.sulek@fitchratings.com

Arkadiusz Wicik, CFA

Senior Director
Committee Chairperson
+48 22 103 3016
arkadiusz.wicik@fitchratings.com

MEDIA CONTACTS

Tahmina Pinnington-Mannan

London

+44 20 3530 1128

tahmina.pinnington-mannan@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

PARTICIPATION STATUS

The rated entity (and/or its agents) or, in the case of structured finance, one or more of the transaction parties participated in the rating process except that the following issuer(s), if any, did not participate in the rating process, or provide additional information, beyond the issuer's available public disclosure.

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Corporate Hybrids Treatment and Notching Criteria (pub. 12 Nov 2020)

National Scale Rating Criteria (pub. 22 Dec 2020)

Corporates Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria (pub. 09 Apr 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria (pub. 01 Dec 2021)

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 28 Oct 2022) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

APPLICABLE MODELS

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.1.0 (1)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Energa Finance AB (publ) Energa S.A. EU Issued, UK Endorsed EU Issued, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2022 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained by Fitch at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on

Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Corporate Finance Utilities and Power Europe Poland Sweden