



To the kind attention of

Mrs Ursula von der Leyen, President of the European Commission

Mr Frans Timmermans, Executive Vice President of the European Commission in charge of the European Green Deal

Mr Thierry Breton, Commissioner for Internal Market

Mr Virginijus Sinkevičius, Commissioner for Environment, Oceans and Fisheries

In copy to participants of the TAC on SUP

Ref: Draft Guidelines on the Single Use Plastics Directive

Dear President,
Dear Executive Vice-President,
Dear Commissioners,

The present letter is sent on behalf of European Plastics Converters in reference to the letter signed by a group of MEPs, dated February 11th, 2021 [Annex 1] since it contains a list of wrong and/or incomplete information against reusable tableware made by plastic, crockery, glass and metal in relation to the application of the Directive no. 2019/904 on the reduction of the impact of certain plastic products on the environment.

We share certain comments contained in the letter and at the same time we are puzzled to read among its authors MEP Antonio Tajani, who was the President of the European Parliament when the Directive was approved by the Parliament. As said, we agree with the Members of the European Parliament on the need to better define and reduce the scope of the draft Guidelines of December 2020, but few observation are necessary elements.

First, the letter lacks indications on how the comparative study was conducted, although certified. The citation of comparative studies must always give the reader the opportunity to verify the information in the statements and the objectivity of results. Interestingly, the Study cited is a work of Ramboll that has been commissioned by EPPA, the European Paper Packaging Association¹. And, it appears to have been

EPPA Press Release of January 11th, 2021 "Ramboll's new European Study: Single-use paper-based packaging in quick service restaurants is better for the environment than reusable tableware", available here https://www.eppa-eu.org/general/press-release-european-paper-packaging-alliance-12-january-2021.html [Annex 2].



conducted by comparing the paper-based products to different typologies of packaging except 100% plastics products. Many independent studies² have shown **how 100% single use plastics in quick service restaurants performs better than paper-based products.** Not to mention, the better recyclability performance of the former versus the latter.

From the same paragraph, more serious concern arises from the information that "Paper single-use dishes are sourced and manufactured in Europe, opposite to plastic, ceramic or glass dishes that are sourced and/or manufacture out of Europe, mostly in Asia and China": this statement is completely false since single use products available in Europe are produced by European converters, with Italy being one of the first producers in the EU for SUP dishes and similar products.

Second, the **intention of the Legislator has always been to tackle the presence of plastics** in certain types of packaging and the **related littering problem**, thus having in mind paper products coated with plastics which have the same potential of being discarded as plastic products, if not higher since they can be perceived by consumers as being made of 100% paper and hence "greener" than 100% plastics product. In reality, the majority of single use paper-based products have a plastic barrier, without which they cannot function. Not to mention that paper-based products only exist in their single use model since they cannot be reused.

Third, on the concept of "main structural component", we think this is a concept that requires no further comment because the draft Guidelines, as elaborated so far already contain, the best and logical interpretation possible which is in line with the will of the Legislator. The Directive targets any single use products or packaging that contains plastics, irrespective of percentage and this can be inferred by the overall objective of the Directive, its Preambles and Article 3.

We also share that it is of utmost importance to design a set of guidelines in line with the Circular Economy's original concepts, which are sustainability, recyclability, right information to the consumers and fight against unverified green claims as well as use of Life Cycle Assessment to ultimately clarify which products are better for the environment.

Therefore, in the light of the above, plastics converters hope you can take these observations into account. With much criticism, we have never been fond of Directive at stance, but we have always appreciated the even playing field for different products and the need to avoid that any percent of plastics ends up in the environment.

Danish Environment Protection Agency *LCA of Single Use Plastic Products in Denmark* https://backend.orbit.dtu.dk/ws/portalfiles/portal/195360360/2019_LCA of Single Use Plastic Products in Denmark Environmental Project. No 2104.pdf; Lifecycle Initiative *Single-use plastic bags and their alternatives: Recommendations from life cycle assessments* https://www.lifecycleinitiative.org/single-use-plastic-bags-and-their-alternatives-recommendations-from-life-cycle-assessments/.



Thanking you for your time and consideration, EuPC and National Plastics Associations remain at your disposal to provide any document or answer any questions you might have.

The undersigned National Plastics Associations are as follows (in alphabetical order):







Essenscia PolyMatters



FCIO Kunststoff



Federation of Norwegian Industries



Industrievereinigung Kunststoffverpackungen e.V.



KUNSTSTOFF.swiss



LINPRA



Swedish Plastics Association



PAGDER



PAGEV



Polish Union of Plastics Converters



Polymer Technology Ireland



Polyvia



Unionplast – Federazione Gomma Plastica



European Plastics Converters